



# Derbyshire Police and Crime Commissioner

*Safer and Stronger Together*

Protecting Communities  
Fighting Crime



## Stop Search Scrutiny Panel

Date of Meeting: Tuesday 21<sup>st</sup> April 2026

### Attendance:

#### Police and OPCC

Ali Hunt (AH) – Police Constable

Phoebe Stott (PS) – Caseworker and Volunteer Coordinator (OPCC)

#### Independent Panel Members

*BM – independent panel member*

*LK – independent panel member*

*KG – independent panel member*

*CB – independent panel member*

### 1) Welcome and Introductions

This scrutiny panel was held online via Microsoft Teams. PS welcomed all the panel members to the meeting and informed them that DPCC Dr Fatima Eltinay is observing the panel today.

These minutes contain numerous abbreviations. A description of them is explained below.

#### **GOWISELY**

Police officers use the acronym 'GOWISELY' to ensure the required information is given to members of the public when detained for the purpose of a search.

Grounds for search

Object of search

Warrant card (if not in uniform or requested)

Identity of officer

Station to which the officer is attached.

Entitlement to a copy of the search record

Legal power used.

You are detained for the purpose of the search.

**DP** - Detained person

**BWV** – Body Worn Video

**XXX** – Redaction

2) Stop Search Scrutiny: North

Case 1

<b>Date</b>	31/03/2026
<b>Time</b>	10:00
<b>Location</b>	Not Recorded
<b>Subject</b>	Male 30 M9. Any other mixed
<b>PE Search Power</b>	Misuse of Drugs Act 1971 (s23 (PWITS))
<b>PE Search Reason</b>	Drugs - other controlled drugs
<b>PE Search Outcome</b>	Yes - object other than that searched for
<b>PE Search Clothing Removed</b>	Jacket, outer coat, or gloves (JOG)
<b>Stop Search Type</b>	Person only Search
<b>Incident Summary</b>	<p>Officers have spotted vehicle XXXXXXXX, with 2 male passengers in the front, drive past another vehicle XXXXXXXX which are both linked to the cultivation of cannabis. XXXXXXXX was currently getting stopped by police at the time of this encounter.</p> <p>A short time later, officers located XXXXXXXX parked up in a retail park near to costa and 2 males were outside costa who matched the description of the male passengers sighted in the vehicle.</p> <p>XXXXXXX enters the retail park and has encounters with both males in the car park.</p> <p>The males then walk off in different directions. One walks into sports direct shop and the other enters the vehicle.</p> <p>Both males detained at this point.</p> <p>Observations: Both vehicles in the same area at the same time, then both vehicles meet up with each other. Encounters with each other are seen by police. Both vehicles have links to the cultivation of cannabis.</p> <p>Information/intelligence: Both vehicles have links to the cultivation of cannabis</p> <p>Suspicion prior to search: Encounter with another male from the other car.</p> <p>The male was aimlessly walking around sports direct on his phone and not shopping.</p>

<b>Outcome (i.e, arrested)</b>	Action - No further action
<b>Secondary Outcome</b>	Arrest
<b>Body Worn Video available</b>	Yes

#### Feedback from Independent Panel Members

<b>Panel Observations</b>	<p>The panel felt that the grounds for the stop and search were well described however, with regards to the search, the panel stated that while a female officer identified herself and delivered GOWISELY, the officer who carried out the search did not identify himself. The panel considered that all officers involved should identify themselves as a minimum.</p> <p>Officers also did not clearly state what item they were searching for.</p> <p>Given that the search was described as semi-covert, and it appeared the search subject did not speak much English, the panel questioned whether officers should have identified themselves by showing a warrant card.</p> <p>There was also no evidence that a copy of the search record was offered.</p>
<b>Panel Decision</b>	Panel agreed that the description of the grounds justified the stop search, and the BWV gave evidence for compliance.
<b>Actions taken by Police</b>	Feedback on the stop search has been shared with officers involved.

#### Case 2

<b>Date</b>	30/03/2026
<b>Time</b>	17:21
<b>Location</b>	Chesterfield
<b>Subject</b>	Male 19 W1. White British
<b>PE Search Power</b>	Misuse of Drugs Act 1971 (s23 (Possession))
<b>PE Search Reason</b>	Drugs - other controlled drugs
<b>PE Search Outcome</b>	No - no object(s) found
<b>PE Search Clothing Removed</b>	Jacket, outer coat, or gloves (JOG)
<b>Stop Search Type</b>	Person only Search
<b>Incident Summary</b>	Male matching description and seen leaving the area of a suspected drug deal in a vehicle by local Neighbourhood Officers. Stopped and detained.

	<p>Observations: male seemed nervous and shaky.</p> <p>Information/intelligence: nothing further.</p> <p>Suspicion prior to search: male matched the description and appeared nervous.</p>
<b>Outcome (i.e, arrested)</b>	Action - No further action
<b>Body Worn Video available</b>	Yes

### Feedback from Independent Panel Members

<b>Panel Observations</b>	No further comments were made by the panel in relation to the description of the grounds however, they did state that they thought the coverage of GOWISELY was very good and clear, the officer had a nice rapport with the search subject who was compliant and made the search easy both sides.
<b>Panel Decision</b>	Panel agreed that the description of the grounds justified the stop search, and the BWV gave evidence for compliance.
<b>Actions taken by Police</b>	Feedback on the stop search has been shared with officers involved.

### Case 3

<b>Date</b>	29/03/2026
<b>Time</b>	16:33
<b>Location</b>	Amber Valley
<b>Subject</b>	Male 19 W1. White British
<b>PE Search Power</b>	Misuse of Drugs Act 1971 (s23 (Possession))
<b>PE Search Reason</b>	Drugs - other controlled drugs
<b>PE Search Outcome</b>	No - no object(s) found
<b>PE Search Clothing Removed</b>	Jacket, outer coat, or gloves (JOG)
<b>Stop Search Type</b>	Person/Vehicle Search
<b>Incident Summary</b>	<p>Vehicle witnessed in a known hotspot area for drug use and drug dealing. Vehicle has blue lights on in front of the vehicle. Vehicle stopped. Intelligence linking the driver of the vehicle to drugs i.e. cocaine which is recent.</p> <p>Driver and vehicle detained for a search. Upon searching the vehicle, strong smell of cannabis coming from inside the vehicle and remains. Passengers challenged, one of the passenger admits to having a cannabis grinder.</p>

	<p>Based on the above, passengers detained for a search under section 23 of the misuse of drugs act.</p> <p>Observations: Vehicle witnessed in a known hotspot area for drug use and drug dealing. Vehicle has blue lights on in front of the vehicle. Vehicle stopped.</p> <p>Intelligence linking the driver of the vehicle to drugs i.e. cocaine which is recent. Driver and vehicle detained for a search. Upon searching the vehicle, strong smell of cannabis coming from inside the vehicle and remains. Passengers challenged, one of the passenger admits to having a cannabis grinder.</p> <p>Information/intelligence: Vehicle witnessed in a known hotspot area for drug use and drug dealing. Vehicle has blue lights on in front of the vehicle. Vehicle stopped. Intelligence linking the driver of the vehicle to drugs i.e. cocaine which is recent. Driver and vehicle detained for a search.</p> <p>Upon searching the vehicle, strong smell of cannabis coming from inside the vehicle and remains. Passengers challenged, one of the passenger admits to having a cannabis grinder.</p> <p>Suspicion prior to search: Vehicle witnessed in a known hotspot area for drug use and drug dealing. Vehicle has blue lights on in front of the vehicle. Vehicle stopped. Intelligence linking the driver of the vehicle to drugs i.e. cocaine which is recent. Driver and vehicle detained for a search.</p> <p>Upon searching the vehicle, strong smell of cannabis coming from inside the vehicle and remains. Passengers challenged, one of the passenger admits to having a cannabis grinder.</p>
<b>Outcome (i.e, arrested)</b>	Action - No further action
<b>Body Worn Video available</b>	Yes

**Feedback from Independent Panel Members**

<b>Panel Observations</b>	No further comments were made by the panel in relation to the description of the grounds however, they did state that the search was very clear.
---------------------------	--

<b>Panel Decision</b>	Panel agreed that the description of the grounds justified the stop search, and the BWV gave evidence for compliance.
<b>Actions taken by Police</b>	Feedback on the stop search has been shared with officers involved.

#### Case 4

<b>Date</b>	28/03/2026
<b>Time</b>	11:05
<b>Location</b>	Not Recorded
<b>Subject</b>	Male 21 W1. White British
<b>PE Search Power</b>	Misuse of Drugs Act 1971 (s23 (Possession))
<b>PE Search Reason</b>	Drugs – Other controlled drugs
<b>PE Search Outcome</b>	No - no object(s) found
<b>PE Search Clothing Removed</b>	Jacket, outer coat, or gloves (JOG)
<b>Stop Search Type</b>	Person only Search
<b>Incident Summary</b>	<p>Vehicle was seen and 3 males had got in the vehicle with a driver sat inside already. The vehicle was ran through and came back to Liverpool. Officers then approached vehicle and driver had made off from vehicle.</p> <p>3 males inside two known for drugs using and dealing. Male DP was in the rear of the vehicle and was very evasive why he was there.</p> <p>Observations: He was evasive and quite anxious about why he was in the vehicle. He was acting under the influence of alcohol or drugs.</p> <p>Information/intelligence: [DP] is known for drugs dealing and taking. He was in the vehicle with two other males who he said he didn't know.</p> <p>Suspicion prior to search: Male was evasive and i could see empty snap bags on the floor.</p>
<b>Outcome (i.e, arrested)</b>	Action - No further action
<b>Body Worn Video available</b>	Yes

#### Feedback from Independent Panel Members

<b>Panel Observations</b>	No further comments were made by the panel in relation to the description of the grounds. In terms of the search, the wind made it very difficult to hear anything but the
---------------------------	--

	panel confirmed that the officer did definitely ask if he wanted a copy of the search and his ethnicity.
<b>Panel Decision</b>	Panel agreed that the description of the grounds justified the stop search, and the BWV gave evidence for compliance.
<b>Actions taken by Police</b>	Feedback on the stop search has been shared with officers involved.

#### Case 5

<b>Date</b>	27/03/2026
<b>Time</b>	15:50
<b>Location</b>	Chesterfield
<b>Subject</b>	Male 49 W1. White British
<b>PE Search Power</b>	Misuse of Drugs Act 1971 (s23 (PWITS))
<b>PE Search Reason</b>	Drugs - other controlled drugs
<b>PE Search Outcome</b>	No - no object(s) found
<b>PE Search Clothing Removed</b>	Standard stop and search (SSS)
<b>Stop Search Type</b>	Person only Search
<b>Incident Summary</b>	<p>Known drug user seen to meet with known male who is linked to drug dealing. An exchange is then seen to take place between them.</p> <p>Observations: known drug user walking around the area and constantly on their phone. male then meets with known drug dealer and an exchange between them is then witnessed</p> <p>Information/intelligence: parties involved are a known drug user meeting with a known drug dealer and then an exchange taking place between them.</p> <p>Suspicion prior to search: parties involved are a known drug user meeting with a known drug dealer and then an exchange taking place between them.</p>
<b>Outcome (i.e, arrested)</b>	Action - No further action
<b>Body Worn Video available</b>	Yes

#### Feedback from Independent Panel Members

<b>Panel Observations</b>	No further comments were made by the panel in relation to the description of the grounds. In terms of the search, the panel stated it was very thorough. The officer gave his
---------------------------	---

	name and station in which he was attached too however, did not give his collar number.
<b>Panel Decision</b>	Panel agreed that the description of the grounds justified the stop search, and the BWV gave evidence for compliance.
<b>Actions taken by Police</b>	Feedback on the stop search has been shared with officers involved.

#### Case 6

<b>Date</b>	25/03/2026
<b>Time</b>	18:53
<b>Location</b>	Amber Valley
<b>Subject</b>	Male 16 W1. White British
<b>PE Search Power</b>	PACE 1984 (s1)
<b>PE Search Reason</b>	Offensive weapons (blades, sharp points)
<b>PE Search Outcome</b>	No - no object(s) found
<b>PE Search Clothing Removed</b>	Jacket, outer coat, or gloves (JOG)
<b>Stop Search Type</b>	Person only Search
<b>Incident Summary</b>	Male mentioned by name as having weapons on his person  Had provided false details in first instance  Observations: had provided false details to police  Information/intelligence: markers for weapons  Suspicion prior to search: male had been named from initial report as having weapons on him provided false details in first interaction
<b>Outcome (i.e, arrested)</b>	Action - No further action
<b>Body Worn Video available</b>	Yes

#### Feedback from Independent Panel Members

<b>Panel Observations</b>	After reading the grounds, the panel initially queried the reasons for the stop. AH provided additional context from the crime report and the panel were then satisfied that the grounds were there. However, they felt that the original description of the grounds lacked sufficient detail and did not, on its own, justify the search.
---------------------------	--

	In terms of the search, the panel couldn't scrutinise as the BWV couldn't be located.
<b>Panel Decision</b>	Panel agreed that the description of the grounds did not justify the stop search.
<b>Actions taken by Police</b>	Feedback on the stop search has been shared with officers involved.

Case 7

<b>Date</b>	23/03/2026
<b>Time</b>	16:32
<b>Location</b>	Not Recorded
<b>Subject</b>	Male 24 W1. White British
<b>PE Search Power</b>	PACE 1984 (s1)
<b>PE Search Reason</b>	Stolen article(s)
<b>PE Search Outcome</b>	No - no object(s) found
<b>PE Search Clothing Removed</b>	Standard stop and search (SSS)
<b>Stop Search Type</b>	Person only Search
<b>Incident Summary</b>	<p>Pavements CCTV made me aware that [detained person (DP)] had just entered Pets at Home and then exited and entered B and M.</p> <p>DP does not have any pets, he is homeless so had no reason to enter Pets at home. Pets at home is a store in Chesterfield that suffers many thefts. DP is a prolific thief. B and M hit by shoplifters on a extremely frequent basis, specifically cleaning products.</p> <p>I walked through the store in plain clothes and saw DP on the cleaning aisle. DP exited the store not paying for any items. I suspected DP had stolen items on him which is why he did not pay for anything. DP was detained to look for items stolen.</p> <p>Observations: DP was complaint but appeared nervous. DP was seen by myself on the cleaning aisle which is the biggest hit aisle on the store for thefts. DP did not pay for any items and walked through the payments section without buying any items. DP also does not have any pets but he entered Pets at Home. DP was wearing a jacket zipped up which could easily conceal stolen items underneath.</p>

	<p>Information/intelligence: DP is an extremely well-known thief from shops and vehicles. DP was investigated in the last 6 months for XXX. DP has only been out of prison for XXX and is mentioned to be the possible suspect of XXX.</p> <p>DP is known to run from police and resist arrest which is why handcuffs were required.</p> <p>Suspicion prior to search: Pavements CCTV made me aware that DP had just entered Pets at Home and then exited and entered B and M.</p> <p>DP does not have any pets, he is homeless so had no reason to enter Pets at home. Pets at home is a store in Chesterfield that suffers many thefts. DP is a prolific thief. B and M hit by shoplifters on a extremely frequent basis, specifically cleaning products.</p> <p>I walked through the store in plain clothes and saw DP on the cleaning aisle. DP exited the store not paying for any items. I suspected DP had stolen items on him which is why he did not pay for anything. DP was detained to look for items stolen.</p>
<b>Outcome (i.e, arrested)</b>	Action - No further action
<b>Body Worn Video available</b>	Yes

### Feedback from Independent Panel Members

<b>Panel Observations</b>	No further comments were made by the panel in relation to the description of the grounds however, they did note that the search was very good, clear and everything was covered.
<b>Panel Decision</b>	Panel agreed that the description of the grounds justified the stop search, and the BWV gave evidence for compliance.
<b>Actions taken by Police</b>	Feedback on the stop search has been shared with officers involved.

### 3) Stop Search Scrutiny: South

#### Case 1

<b>Date</b>	30/03/2026
<b>Time</b>	22:36
<b>Location</b>	Derby East
<b>Subject</b>	Male 18 W1. White British
<b>PE Search Power</b>	Misuse of Drugs Act 1971 (s23 (Possession))
<b>PE Search Reason</b>	Drugs - other controlled drugs
<b>PE Search Outcome</b>	No - no object(s) found
<b>PE Search Clothing Removed</b>	Standard stop and search (SSS)
<b>Stop Search Type</b>	Person only Search
<b>Incident Summary</b>	<p>Observations passed over airwaves that two males dressed in black were passing what looked to be cannabis between them at LIDL CAR PARK.</p> <p>Observations: Both males left the scene when officers were present but then returned after they walked around the edge of the car park. The males were then stopped.</p> <p>Information/intelligence: Both parties have intel for drug possession</p> <p>Suspicion prior to search: They matched the description passed over the radio and they left the scene when officers were present initially.</p>
<b>Outcome (i.e, arrested)</b>	Action - No further action
<b>Body Worn Video available</b>	Yes

#### Feedback from Independent Panel Members

<b>Panel Observations</b>	No further comments were made by the panel in relation to the description of the grounds however, they did state that the search was very good and the officer had a nice rapport with the search subject.
<b>Panel Decision</b>	Panel agreed that the description of the grounds justified the stop search, and the BWV gave evidence for compliance.
<b>Actions taken by Police</b>	Feedback on the stop search has been shared with officers involved.

## Case 2

<b>Date</b>	28/03/2026
<b>Time</b>	17:42
<b>Location</b>	Erewash
<b>Subject</b>	Male 15 M9. Any other mixed
<b>PE Search Power</b>	PACE 1984 (s1)
<b>PE Search Reason</b>	Bladed article
<b>PE Search Outcome</b>	No - no object(s) found
<b>PE Search Clothing Removed</b>	Standard stop and search (SSS)
<b>Stop Search Type</b>	Person only Search
<b>Incident Summary</b>	<p>Intelligence stating male had a knife in his possession, upon a search of home address a knife was missing.</p> <p>Observations: Male was missing and attempted to abscond when found by officers, also refused to provide details.</p> <p>Information/intelligence: Intel suggesting male was in possession of a kitchen knife.</p> <p>Suspicion prior to search: Recent intel, and male attempted to abscond and refused details to police.</p>
<b>Outcome (i.e, arrested)</b>	No - no object(s) found
<b>Body Worn Video available</b>	Yes

## Feedback from Independent Panel Members

<b>Panel Observations</b>	No further comments were made by the panel in relation to the description of the grounds however, they did state that GOWISELY was covered well and they thought it was good practice that the DP was taken home and safeguarding was done.
<b>Panel Decision</b>	Panel agreed that the description of the grounds justified the stop search, and the BWV gave evidence for compliance.
<b>Actions taken by Police</b>	Feedback on the stop search has been shared with officers involved.

### Case 3

<b>Date</b>	27/03/2026
<b>Time</b>	14:00
<b>Location</b>	Derby North
<b>Subject</b>	Male 16 W1. White British
<b>PE Search Power</b>	Misuse of Drugs Act 1971 (s23 (PWITS))
<b>PE Search Reason</b>	Drugs - other controlled drugs
<b>PE Search Outcome</b>	No - no object(s) found
<b>PE Search Clothing Removed</b>	Standard stop and search (SSS)
<b>Stop Search Type</b>	Person only Search
<b>Incident Summary</b>	<p>DP observed with another walking along the street and through the jittys. As they have seen the marked vehicle they have paid particular attention, and made off out of sight. Officers have then encountered the males as they were going through the jitty onto the racecourse. The males have sped up as Officers were approaching them. On reaching the males there was the clear smell of Cannabis and the stopped persons eyes appeared glazed. The male was initially argumentative on being stopped further raising suspicion.</p> <p>Observations: Males paying attention to marked Police vehicle, looking behind them, going out of sight, and speeding off as Officers were approaching. Smell of Cannabis on approaching the males and males argumentative behaviour.</p> <p>Information/intelligence: Area known for drug use</p> <p>Suspicion prior to search: suspicion was formed with the males clear interest in the marked vehicle, then disappearing out of view, looking back and speeding off.</p>
<b>Outcome (i.e, arrested)</b>	Action - No further action
<b>Body Worn Video available</b>	Yes

### Feedback from Independent Panel Members

<b>Panel Observations</b>	No further comments were made by the panel in relation to the description of the grounds and they were happy that the search was conducted appropriately. In terms of GOWISELY, the panel didn't recall the search subject being offered a copy of the search.
---------------------------	--

<b>Panel Decision</b>	Panel agreed that the description of the grounds justified the stop search, and the BWV gave evidence for compliance.
<b>Actions taken by Police</b>	Feedback on the stop search has been shared with officers involved.

#### Case 4

<b>Date</b>	26/03/2026
<b>Time</b>	12:53
<b>Location</b>	Derby West
<b>Subject</b>	Female 42 W1. White British
<b>PE Search Power</b>	Misuse of Drugs Act 1971 (s23 (Possession))
<b>PE Search Reason</b>	Drugs - other controlled drugs
<b>PE Search Outcome</b>	No - no object(s) found
<b>PE Search Clothing Removed</b>	Jacket, outer coat, or gloves (JOG)
<b>Stop Search Type</b>	Person only Search
<b>Incident Summary</b>	<p>Female located inside a vulnerable males address which police are visiting regular due to op trapped concerns. flat is being used by drug users to possess and administer drugs. female under the influence</p> <p>Observations: female under the influence suspect may have further drugs on her</p> <p>Information/intelligence: flat is op trapped due to persistent activity and drug use</p> <p>Suspicion prior to search: drugs may be on her person, under influence, items linked to drug taking inside the flat</p>
<b>Outcome (i.e, arrested)</b>	Action - No further action
<b>Body Worn Video available</b>	Yes

#### Feedback from Independent Panel Members

<b>Panel Observations</b>	No further comments were made by the panel in relation to the description of the grounds. In terms of GOWISELY, the panel were happy everything was covered, they did however note that they thought the officer could've been nicer to the search subject but they understand the circumstance and that the officer was being clear to the lady to ensure she understood what was happening as she was clearly heavily under the influence.
---------------------------	--

<b>Panel Decision</b>	Panel agreed that the description of the grounds justified the stop search, and the BWV gave evidence for compliance.
<b>Actions taken by Police</b>	Feedback on the stop search has been shared with officers involved.

Case 5

<b>Date</b>	25/03/2026
<b>Time</b>	19:06
<b>Location</b>	Not recorded
<b>Subject</b>	Male 15 W1. White British
<b>PE Search Power</b>	PACE 1984 (s1)
<b>PE Search Reason</b>	Offensive weapons (blades, sharp points)
<b>PE Search Outcome</b>	No - no object(s) found
<b>PE Search Clothing Removed</b>	Standard stop and search (SSS)
<b>Stop Search Type</b>	Person only Search
<b>Incident Summary</b>	<p>Incident was reported whereby a male has been assaulted and threats with a knife have been made. FCR [Force Control Room] have provided a description stating one male was wearing all blue with a face covering and another male wearing all black with a face covering. 2 males matching description have been sighted running from the offence direction and detained for a search due to the information received.</p> <p>Observations: Incident was reported whereby a male has been assaulted and threats with a knife have been made. FCR [Force Control Room] have provided a description stating one male was wearing all blue with a face covering and another male wearing all black with a face covering. 2 males matching description have been sighted running from the offence direction and detained for a search due to the information received.</p> <p>Information/intelligence: Incident was reported whereby a male has been assaulted and threats with a knife have been made. FCR have provided a description stating one male was wearing all blue with a face covering and another male wearing all black with a face covering. 2 males matching description have been sighted running from the offence direction and detained for a search due to the information received.</p>

	Suspicion prior to search: Incident was reported whereby a male has been assaulted and threats with a knife have been made. FCR have provided a description stating one male was wearing all blue with a face covering and another male wearing all black with a face covering. 2 males matching description have been sighted running from the offence direction and detained for a search due to the information received.
<b>Outcome (i.e, arrested)</b>	Action - No further action
<b>Body Worn Video available</b>	Yes

### Feedback from Independent Panel Members

<b>Panel Observations</b>	<p>No further comments were made by the panel in relation to the description of the grounds. In terms of the search, the panel commented that GOWISELY wasn't clearly relayed to the search subject as the officer never identified himself, which station he was attached too or whether they wanted a copy of the search which is a shame as the officer had a good rapport with search subject.</p> <p>They stated that they understand the circumstances the officer was facing i.e. report of a knife so adrenaline and emotions could be heightened and clarified that most of GOWISELY was covered in a roundabout way.</p>
<b>Panel Decision</b>	Panel agreed that the description of the grounds justified the stop search, and the BWV gave evidence for compliance however, it could've been better.
<b>Actions taken by Police</b>	Feedback on the stop search has been shared with officers involved.

### Case 6

<b>Date</b>	24/03/2026
<b>Time</b>	19:00
<b>Location</b>	Erewash
<b>Subject</b>	Male 26 W1. White British
<b>PE Search Power</b>	Misuse of Drugs Act 1971 (s23 (PWITS))
<b>PE Search Reason</b>	Drugs - other controlled drugs
<b>PE Search Outcome</b>	No - no object(s) found
<b>PE Search Clothing Removed</b>	Standard stop and search (SSS)
<b>Stop Search Type</b>	Person/Vehicle Search
<b>Incident Summary</b>	Officers have seen a vehicle regularly at an address known for drug dealing. When officers have got sight of it leaving

	<p>the area it has been driving in an odd manner doing loops of the same streets as if testing officers to see if it was being followed.</p> <p>Based on this information I have made the decision to search it under Sec.23 as I suspected the occupant or vehicle to have drugs.</p> <p>Observations: see above</p> <p>Information/intelligence: see above</p> <p>Suspicion prior to search: see above</p>
<b>Outcome (i.e, arrested)</b>	Action - No further action
<b>Body Worn Video available</b>	Yes

### Feedback from Independent Panel Members

<b>Panel Observations</b>	No further comments were made by the panel in relation to the description of the grounds however, they did state that the search was clear and efficient and everything was covered.
<b>Panel Decision</b>	Panel agreed that the description of the grounds justified the stop search, and the BWV gave evidence for compliance.
<b>Actions taken by Police</b>	Feedback on the stop search has been shared with officers involved.

### Case 7

<b>Date</b>	24/03/2026
<b>Time</b>	14:16
<b>Location</b>	Erewash
<b>Subject</b>	Male 60 W1. White British
<b>PE Search Power</b>	Misuse of Drugs Act 1971 (s23 (Possession))
<b>PE Search Reason</b>	Drugs - other controlled drugs
<b>PE Search Outcome</b>	No - no object(s) found
<b>PE Search Clothing Removed</b>	Standard stop and search (SSS)
<b>Stop Search Type</b>	Person only Search
<b>Incident Summary</b>	On Tuesday 24th March 2024 I was on Mobile patrol When I was alerted by a PCSO [Police Community Support Officer] that they had witnessed a female deal to a male in a car park off bath street, Ilkeston. An exact description was passed. I was with [Officer A] in a marked police

	<p>vehicle when we located the two individuals in the same place described. The individuals that matched the description were stopped and searched and another male ran away from police, but once returned admitted to being dealt two bags of dark, and had thrown them away.</p> <p>Observations: Female dealt to male I stop and searched</p> <p>Information/intelligence: Drug dealing to male</p> <p>Suspicion prior to search: That the male would have drugs on him</p>
<b>Outcome (i.e, arrested)</b>	Action - No further action
<b>Body Worn Video available</b>	Yes

### Feedback from Independent Panel Members

<b>Panel Observations</b>	No further comments were made by the panel in relation to the description of the grounds. In terms of the search, the panel stated that was conducted appropriately however, there was no evidence of GOWISELY covered in the BWV therefore the panel cannot provide any feedback on this.
<b>Panel Decision</b>	Panel agreed that the description of the grounds justified the stop search.
<b>Actions taken by Police</b>	Feedback on the stop search has been shared with officers involved.

### Case 8

<b>Date</b>	23/033/2026
<b>Time</b>	11:40
<b>Location</b>	Derby North
<b>Subject</b>	Male 22 W1. White British
<b>PE Search Power</b>	Misuse of Drugs Act 1971 (s23 (Possession))
<b>PE Search Reason</b>	Drugs - other controlled drugs
<b>PE Search Outcome</b>	Yes - the object(s) searched for
<b>PE Search Clothing Removed</b>	Standard stop and search (SSS)
<b>Stop Search Type</b>	Person only Search
<b>Incident Summary</b>	<p>Male found in a zombie like state covered in pieces of mamba, he had a spliff in front of him that contained mamba also.</p> <p>Observations: Zombie, mamba, spliff</p>

	Information/intelligence: known drug user  Suspicion prior to search: Believed subject would have further drugs in his possession
<b>Outcome (i.e, arrested)</b>	Postal requisition/charge/summons
<b>Body Worn Video available</b>	Yes

### Feedback from Independent Panel Members

<b>Panel Observations</b>	No further comments were made by the panel in relation to the description of the grounds however, they did state that the officer did really well under the circumstance. The entirety of GOWISELY was covered and the officer was really patient with the search subject.
<b>Panel Decision</b>	Panel agreed that the description of the grounds justified the stop search, and the BWV gave evidence for compliance.
<b>Actions taken by Police</b>	Feedback on the stop search has been shared with officers involved.