



Quarterly Report- April-June 2020

During the above period there were 3285 people held in custody in Derbyshire.

The below tables are statistics gathered from our Independent Custody Visiting Scheme.

Please note that visits to custody were suspended at the beginning of the Coronavirus pandemic. As a result, no visits were scheduled between 16th March and 18th May. Visiting resumed in a virtual form from 19th May.

Suite	Number of Visits	Scheduled Visits	Achieved Rate
Chesterfield	2	2	100.00%
Derby	1	1	100.00%
Total	3	3	100.00%



Suite	Total	Available	%	Consent	%
Chesterfield	6	6	100.00%	5	83.33%
Derby	3	3	100.00%	3	100.00%
Total	9	9	100.00%	8	88.89%

Issue	Count	Percent
Anti-Rip Clothing		0%
Appropriate Adult		0%
Blanket		0%
Caring Reponsibilities Unresolved		0%
Children in Custody		0%
Communication Issues: Language/Autism /Learning D		0%
Cell Call Bell Issues		0%
Cell Too Hot/Too Cold	1	50%
Condition of the Cell		0%
Copies of PACE Not Available		0%
Drink		0%
Exercise		0%
Family/Friends Notified		0%
Female Hygiene Pack		0%
Female Officer		0%
Food		0%
Interpreter		0%
Legal Advice/Solicitor		0%
Matress/Pillow		0%
Medication Requested		0%
Mental Health Issues		0%
Methadone		0%
Nurse/Doctor Requested	1	50%
Observation Times/Levels		0%
Phone Call		0%
Reading Material		0%
Religious Requests		0%
Replacement Clothing/Footwear		0%
Rights and Entitlements		0%
Shower		0%
Toilet/sink Not Working		0%
Toilet Paper Requested		0%
Toilet Pixellation		0%
Update		0%
Use of Force		0%
Total	2	

Quarterly CRR Report

1st April to 30th June

Our vulnerability focus during this period was Young People and Mentally Vulnerable.

To compensate for suspended visits to custody, double the amount of records per week were reviewed, starting 20th April. During this quarter we reviewed a total of 135 Custody records. 27 records were Young People, and 80 were Mentally Vulnerable. The remaining records were chosen from the general detainee population.

Our custody record reviewers retrospectively check the redacted records of vulnerable DPs to ensure that they have been detained and cared for according to their needs. The volunteers report on pre-set criteria and highlight whether the reporting of that care has been logged into the Custody Record of each individual.

Below is a snapshot from the output of our Custody Record Reviewers, please note that fuller reports are presented to the Strategic Priorities Assurance Board twice per year.

Findings from the reviews may indicate a failing to record adequately rather than a failing of care and as such this should be read in junction with the custody visiting report.

General Requirements

Records are checked to ensure that DPs are informed about the cell call button, that the toilet area is obscured, all detained should be asked if they have caring responsibilities and informed if they have been reviewed whilst asleep.

The findings from our record reviewing show that informing detainees of the toilet area being obscured declined slightly, but in all other areas the recording of the above has remained at a consistent level or improved.

Delay from Arrival to Authorised Detention

There continues to be a number of delays in which the reason for the delay in authorising detention is not rationalised.

Appropriate Adults (AA)

Mental Health (MH)

Calls for an AA for those with MH vulnerabilities appears to have increased. Despite this, there were still some records where it appeared that an AA may have been beneficial.

The length of time until first recorded contact with the AA has reduced overall, but where there is a delay a rationale is not always given.

Young People (YP)

There number of long delays in AA first contact for YP decreased, but where these delays occurred there it remains that there was not always a good rationale recorded.

Observation Levels

Our reviewing checks if observation levels are adhered to (within 5 minutes). The adhering to observations levels declined during this period.

There were some good examples of detainee care in visit entries.

Liaison and Diversion (L & D)

The data demonstrates that L & D appeared to have spoken to a higher proportion of Young DPs and those with MH vulnerabilities than last quarter.

Interview information

The data collected demonstrates that all parties present at an interview is not always recorded on the custody record. This improved throughout the quarter but remains low.